

AAD GEM-3 Phase 3 Data Conference Call



Forward looking statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. Any statements in this presentation about future expectations, plans and prospects for Krystal Biotech, Inc. (the "Company"), including but not limited to statements about the development of the Company's product candidates, such as the future development or commercialization of B-VEC (beremagene geperpavec), and the Company's other product candidates; conduct and timelines of preclinical and clinical trials, the clinical utility of B-VEC and the Company's other product candidates; plans for and timing of the review of regulatory filings, efforts to bring B-VEC and the Company's other product candidates to market; the market opportunity for and the potential market acceptance of B-VEC; plans to pursue research and development of product candidates and the Company's technology; the sufficiency of the Company's existing cash resources; and other statements containing the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intend", "may", "plan", "predict", "project", "target", "potential", "likely", "will", "would", "could", "continue" and similar expressions, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements as a result of various important factors, including: the content and timing of decisions made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, European Medicines Agency and other regulatory authorities; the uncertainties inherent in the initiation and conduct of clinical trials, availability and timing of data from clinical trials; whether results of early clinical trials or studies in different disease indications will be indicative of the results of ongoing or future trials; uncertainties associated with regulatory review of clinical trials and applications for marketing approvals; the availability or commercial potential of product candidates; the ability to retain and hire key personnel; the sufficiency of cash resources and need for additional financing; and such other important factors as are set forth in the Company's annual and quarterly reports and other filings on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, the forward-looking statements included in this presentation represent the Company's views as of the date of this presentation. The Company anticipates that subsequent events and developments will cause its views to change. However, while the Company may elect to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, it specifically disclaims any obligation to do so. These forwardlooking statements should not be relied upon as representing the Company's views as of any date subsequent to the date of this presentation.

This presentation also contains estimates and other statistical data made by independent parties and by us relating to market size and growth and other data about our industry. This data involves a number of assumptions and limitations, and you are cautioned not to give undue weight to such estimates. Neither we nor any other person makes any representation as to the accuracy or completeness of such data or undertakes any obligation to update such data after the date of this presentation. In addition, projections, assumptions and estimates of our future performance and the future performance of the markets in which we operate are necessarily subject to a high degree of uncertainty and risk.



Agenda



Krish Krishnan – Chairman and CEO

DEB Background and GEM-3 Results (AAD Late-Breaking Presentation)

Dr. Hubert Chen – SVP, Clinical Development

Market Opportunity and Commercial Preparations Andy Orth – Chief Commercial Officer

Closing and Q&A

Krish Krishnan – Chairman and CEO



Krystal Biotech: Bringing Transformative, Redosable Gene Therapies to Underserved Patient Populations



Leader in the science of redosable gene therapies – powered by proprietary HSV-1 vector technology



Initial focus on rare dermatologic diseases established clinical POC and a broad pipeline



Fully integrated, commercialready/pivotal gene therapy company

Expanded focus on larger indications, new tissue types and alternative routes of administration



Well funded with cash of \$502.5 million¹, providing runway through multiple clinical and commercial milestones

1. Cash position as of December 31, 2021



Lead Program: B-VEC (beremagene geperpavec) for DEB

A Topical Redosable Gene Therapy Designed to Treat Dystrophic EB



5

Krvstal

Dr. Hubert Chen

SVP, Clinical Development



Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa and B-VEC

- Dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa (DEB) is a serious, ultra-rare genetic blistering disease caused by mutations in the COL7A1 gene which lead to skin fragility and wounds¹⁻³
 - Patients with DEB are at increased risk for serious complications, including aggressive squamous cell carcinoma⁴⁻⁶; management is currently supportive in nature^{7,8}
- Beremagene geperpavec (B-VEC) is an investigational HSV-1-based topical, redosable gene therapy designed to restore functional COL7 protein by delivering the COL7A1 gene
 - B-VEC utilizes a differentiated HSV-1 vector platform that allows for episomal delivery, high payload capacity, tropism for skin cells, and evades the immune system enabling repeat delivery



Keratinocyte (or Fibroblast) Cell

1. Fine J-D, et al. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014;70(6):1103-1126; 2. Fine J-D. JAMA Dermatol. 2016;152(11):1231-1238; 3. Bardhan A, et al. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020 Sep 24;6(1):78; 4. Condorelli A, et al. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(22):5707; 5. Montaudié H, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2016;11(1):117; 6. Fine J-D, Mellerio JE. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2009;61:367-384; 7. Denyer J, et al. Accessed March 16, 2022. https://www.woundsinternational.com/download/resource/5921; 8. Bruckner AL, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15(1):1. B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; COL7, type VII collagen; COL7A1, collagen type VII alpha 1 chain; DEB, dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus type 1

GEM-3 Study Design

 GEM-3 (NCT04491604) is a Phase 3, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled intra-patient study evaluating the efficacy and safety of B-VEC in patients with DEB



B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; DEB, dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa

• Mean change in pain severity associated with

*Complete wound healing defined as 100% wound closure from exact wound area at baseline, specified as skin

wound dressing changes

re-epithelialization without drainage

Patient Disposition

- 31 patients were randomized and made up the intent-to-treat (ITT) population used for all primary and secondary efficacy analyses
- The safety population was the same as the ITT population and used for all safety analyses
- Three patients withdrew from the study for nondrug-related reasons



Baseline Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

	Total patients (n=31)		Total p (n=	atients 31)
Age, years		Genotype, n (%)		
Mean (SD)	17.2 (10.7)	17.2 (10.7) DDEB 1 (3		3.2)
Range	1 - 44	RDEB 30 (96.8)		96.8)
Age category, n (%)				
≤12 years	10 (32.3)		B-VEC	Placebo
>12 and ≤18 years	9 (29.0)	Primary wound	(n=31)	(n=31)
>18 years	12 (38.7)	Wound area/size, cm ²		
Sex, n (%)		Mean (SD)	14.4 (12.7)	15.6 (12.1)
Male	20 (64.5)	Range	2.3 – 57.3	2.3 – 51.5
Female	11 (35.5)	Wound area/size category* n (%)		
Race, n (%)				
White	20 (64.5)	<20 cm ²	23 (74.2)	22 (71.0)
Asian	6 (19.4)	20 - <40 cm ²	6 (19.4)	8 (25.8)
American Indian or Alaska Native	5 (16.1)	40 – 60 cm ²	2 (6.5)	1 (3.2)

*In a small number of patients, the pre-defined threshold values for wound area/size category fell in between the size of the two wounds

B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; DDEB, dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa; RDEB, recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa; SD, standard deviation

Significantly Greater Complete Wound Healing with B-VEC Treatment



Data as of database lock on 19Nov2021; data in figure based on ITT population (imputed); p-values and CIs are based on exact McNemar's test B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; CI, confidence interval; DDEB, dominant dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa; ITT, intent-to-treat

- The proportion of primary wounds with complete wound healing was significantly greater with B-VEC than placebo at both 3- and 6-month timepoints (p <0.005)
- In the patient with DDEB, primary endpoint of complete wound healing at 6 months was achieved by the B-VEC treated wound, but not by the placebo treated wound
- At 6 months, 15 of 17 discordant pairs showed response to B-VEC but not placebo
 - Discordant pair defined as when one wound meets complete wound healing responder definition and other does not

Primary Wound Pairs (15 – 30 cm²) at Baseline and 6 Months



B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec

Treatment with B-VEC Demonstrated Durability of Response

- 49.7% of B-VEC treated wounds compared to 7.1% of placebo treated wounds demonstrated durability of response, defined as wounds that met complete wound healing at <u>both</u> 3 months (key secondary endpoint) and 6 months (primary endpoint)
- Nearly half of all B-VEC treated wounds demonstrated complete wound healing for three consecutive visits

	Respond	Absolute	
	B-VEC (n=31)	Placebo (n=31)	Difference, % (95% Cl)
Durability of response ⁺	15.4 (49.7)	2.2 (7.1)	42.6 (22.6, 62.6)
Complete wound healing			
Weeks 8, 10, and 12	14.8 (47.7)	5.1 (16.5)	31.3 (10.6, 51.9)
Weeks 22, 24, and 26	13.4 (43.2)	2.0 (6.5)	36.8 (19.8, 53.7)

[†]Durability of response was defined as meeting the responder definition for complete wound healing both at 3 months (Weeks 8 & 10 or Weeks 10 & 12) and at 6 months (Weeks 22 & 24 or Weeks 24 & 26)

Percentages are based on the number of subjects in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population; CIs are based on McNemar's test Missing endpoint data were imputed assuming the data are missing at random and using multiple imputation methodology

 Of the total B-VEC wounds closed at 3 months, 66.7% (14/21) of B-VEC-treated wounds were also closed at 6 months, as compared to 33.3% (2/6) for placebo treated wounds (p=0.02)

Data as of database lock on 19Nov2021

B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; CI, confidence interval

Consistent Evidence of a Treatment Response with B-VEC Across Subgroups

 Treatment response was in favor of B-VEC for all gender, age, and wound area/size subgroups, however the individual subgroups were not powered to demonstrate statistical significance

Complete Wound Healing at 6 Months by Gender and Age Subgroups



Complete Wound Healing at 6 Months by Baseline Primary Wound Area/Size Category

	B-VEC		Placebo	
Baseline primary wound area/size category*	N	Complete wound healing at 6 months, n (%)	N	Complete wound healing at 6 months, n (%)
<20 cm ²	23	14 (60.9)	22	5 (22.7)
20 - <40 cm ²	6	4 (66.7)	8	1 (12.5)
40 – 60 cm ²	2	1 (50.0)	1	0 (0)

*In a small number of patients, the pre-defined threshold values for wound area/size category fell in between the size of the two wounds

Data as of database lock on 19Nov2021; data in figures based on ITT population (imputed); p-values and CIs are based on exact McNemar's test; gender and age subgroups were pre-specified B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; CI, confidence interval; ITT, intent-to-treat

Pain and PRO Assessments Demonstrated Improvement Consistent with a Wound Healing Response

- Baseline VAS score of enrolled patients were approximately 2 to 3 on average
- A trend towards decreased pain in B-VEC treated versus placebo treated wounds was observed across Weeks 22, 24, and 26; improvement in pain was consistent with wound healing
- PRO measures (EQ-5D-5L and Skindex-29) assessed before and after treatment with B-VEC demonstrated improvement across multiple domains directionally, consistent with a wound healing response



Change from Baseline in Pain following B-VEC Treatment

Change from baseline in pain severity associated with wound dressing changes, as measured by Visual Analog Scale, at Weeks 22, 24, and 26 for the ITT population, ages 6 and above Least square mean difference, 95% CI (shown as error bars), and p values were generated from analysis of covariance linear model with treatment and subject as the fixed effects and the baseline value as the covariate and change from baseline as the dependent variable

B-VEC was Generally Well-Tolerated

- The majority of AEs were mild; there were no AEs leading to treatment discontinuation or death
- One AE, mild erythema, was considered possibly related to study drug as assessed by the investigator
- Three patients experienced a total of 5 SAEs during the study: cellulitis, anemia (2 events), diarrhea, and positive blood culture
 - None were considered related to study drug
- No clinically significant immunologic reactions were reported during the study
- Treatment response to B-VEC was not associated with HSV-1 serostatus at baseline or with COL7 seroconversion

	Total Patients (n=31)
Total number of adverse events (AEs)	45
Patients with \geq 1 AE, n (%)	18 (58.1)
Serious AEs	3 (9.7)
Severe AEs	2 (6.5)
Drug-related AEs	1 (3.2)
AE leading to treatment discontinuation	0 (0)
Death	0 (0)

Data as of database lock on 19Nov2021 AEs, adverse events; B-VEC, beremagene geperpavec; COL7, type VII collagen; HSV-1; herpes simplex virus type 1; SAEs, serious adverse events

Andy Orth

Chief Commercial Officer



Dystrophic EB Patient Population and B-VEC Opportunity



Dystrophic EB represents a >\$500M Global Market

- Genetic prevalence suggests ~3,000 patients in the US
 - Initial claims data mining points to >1,000 known patients diagnosed with DEB in the US and >2500 patients worldwide
- Payer mix expected to be ~80% commercially insured in the US
- Palliative care alone costs the healthcare system \$200,000-\$400,000 today
- B-VEC vial pricing to be informed by significant variability in patient level vial consumption; driven by disease severity and duration of treatment
- Patient costs (vial consumption) to the payer expected to decrease over time until they reach steady state. Proactively partnering with US payers for budget predictability



Preparing for U.S. Launch

Pre-Launch Preparations

Education

Internal Preparation

Profiling HCPs to ensure

we know where the

awareness/educate

patients are and raise

Patient and Caregiver facing Community Educational Liaisons in the field

Health Care Professional and Patient focused Disease State Awareness programming underway

Medical Affairs Key Opinion Leader engagement underway

Payer education and engagement

Laying groundwork for site-of-care strategy (goal to allow patients to get treatment in their own zip code)

Building experienced team with strong culture

Krystal Connect– our patient services and reimbursement hub

Launch

Initial Launch

Focused on >1,000 patients identified by claims database analytics

Primarily treating more severe patients at Centers of Excellence

Access to treatment at convenient sites of care



Longer-term Launch

Identifying and educating HCPs and patients in order to identify remaining Dystrophic EB patient population

Patient finding activities focusing on individuals currently not diagnosed or with localized -tomoderate diagnosis

Drive patient disease awareness via sponsored genetic testing and disease education



Launched Decode DEB[™] in October 2021 – Getting the Right Diagnosis

No-charge genetic testing program available to eligible U.S. residents who are suspected of having EB and have not yet been genetically confirmed

Comprehensive testing panel to identify Dystrophic EB or conditions with similar phenotypes, including other EB types and some non-EB genetic blistering conditions

Excellent EB community response to date





DEB Disease Awareness Update DEBFacts Web Sites Launched early 2022





Not all blisters are the same. Could yours be DEB? Ask your doctor about a genetic test for DEB.

WE LEARNED DYSTROPHIC EB (DEB) HAS SERIOUS RISKS.

DEB, or dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa, is a type of epidermolysis bullosa or EB.

It's a genetic disorder that makes skin fragile. Symptoms can include bitters, wounds, scarring, and nails can often be mistaken for other EB types and even other skin conditions, but DEB has serious risks. You can get proactive care and a clearer view of the future the sconer you learn if your blitters are DEB

FOR AN ACCURATE DIAGNOSIS AND ACCURATE CARE.

WE PUSHED FOR ANSWERS -

Learn more about DEB

>1,000 visits since launch.

Media investment and other websites traffic drivers launching early Q2



Proactive Education at American Academy of Dermatology

Medical affairs booth focused on disease state and Krystal Biotech awareness, highlighting:

- Patient journey cases
- Decode DEB genetic testing program
- Mechanism of disease and mechanism of action animations/videos
- Pipeline and clinical trial information

Engaging HCPs serving the dystrophic EB community

- Better understand practice dynamics, patient unmet needs, and dystrophic EB landscape
- Highlight Krystal scientific research



Learn More About Dystrophic Epidermolysis Bullosa (DEB)





Significantly Expanding In-house Manufacturing Capacity and Expertise

Existing ANCORIS Facility



- ~10,000 sq ft GMP facility
- Process validation batches complete
- Designed to support B-VEC launch in U.S. and Europe+
- Comfortably within biologics gross margin range

New ASTRA Facility



- ~150,000 sq ft GMP facility
- Operational in 2022
- Introduces Automation
- Transition B-VEC commercial material to this facility eventually



Krish Krishnan

Chairman and CEO



Key Takeaways





Well-prepared to bring B-VEC to the DEB community



Robust upcoming catalysts for B-VEC and pipeline



Redosable gene delivery technology/platform has broad potential



Upcoming Milestones

Timing	Program	Event
2Q22	B-VEC for Dystrophic EB	Present more detailed GEM-3 safety results at SID (May 18-21)
2Q22	B-VEC for Dystrophic EB	File BLA with U.S. FDA
2Q22	KB407 for cystic fibrosis	Initiate Phase 1 clinical trial in Australia
2H22	B-VEC for Dystrophic EB	Fila MAA with EMA
2H22	KB407 for cystic fibrosis	File IND / Initiate clinical trial in U.S.
2022	KB105 for TGM1-ARCI	Initiate dosing in next Phase 1/2 cohort
2022	KB104 for Netherton	File IND and initiate clinical trial
4Q22	KB301 for aesthetic indications	Initiate one or more Phase 2 trials in aesthetic skin indications





Questions & Answers

